IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No.1034 of 2007

Date of Decision: December 06, 2007

Mohd.Irfan .....Petitioner

Versus

Krimudeen .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. D. ANAND

Present: Mr.SS Dinarpur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.CB Goel, Advocate for the respondent.

S. D. ANAND, J.

The learned Trial Court and also the learned First Appellate Court declined the plaintiff-petitioner's plea for the restraint of defendant-respondent from cutting and removing trees under reference. The present petition calls into question the validity of concurrence of views of both the Courts aforementioned.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Trial Court to dispose of the main suit itself within one month from the date the file is put up before it, along with a copy of this order. Both the parties shall avail of an equal number of opportunities for concluding their evidence. If any assistance in the form of summonses is required, the parties shall get dasti process but would not request adjournment for the

non-return or the non-execution of the processes. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Trial Court on 18.12.2007.

(S. D. ANAND)
JUDGE

December 06, 2007
SRM

Note: Whether referred to reporter ? Yes/No